



\$~O-16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 3454/2014 & I.A. 15196/2017, I.A. 14667/2018,
JAGDISH PERSHAD TYAGI & ORSPlaintiffs

Through: Mr. S. K. Srivastava & Ms. Taruna,
Advocates.

versus

SAROJ TYAGI & ORSDefendants

Through: Mr. Yogesh Gupta and Mr. Abhishek
Singh, Advs. for D-1 and 2 with D-2
in person.
Mr. Rajesh Kumar and Ms. Mansi
Aggarwal, Advs. for D-3 to 7.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **19.02.2025**

I.A. 4409/2025(for seeking clarification in the preliminary decree in the order dated 18.10.2024)

1. Upon hearing the learned counsel and perusing through the order dated 18.10.2024, the Court does not find it necessary to provide any clarification in the impugned order. Moreover, upon hearing the arguments advanced, the applicants appear to be re-agitating the issue under the guise of clarification, which effectively amounts to a review or recall.

2. The application is accordingly dismissed.

CS(OS) 3454/2014

3. Let the parties resolve to the modalities for the implementation of the preliminary decree. The Local Commissioner has already been appointed



vide order dated 18.10.2024.

4. Learned counsel for the parties submits that they are in the process of negotiating the modalities for partition in terms of the preliminary decree. In view thereof, awaiting the outcome of the negotiations, the hearing of the case stands adjourned to 06.08.2025.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

FEBRUARY 19, 2025/da/sp

Click here to check corrigendum, if any