



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 3597/2014**

M/S CHOPRA MARKETING PVT LTDPlaintiff

Through: Mr. Diwakar Singh and
Mr. Chetan Rathor, Advs.

versus

SALMAN RUSHDIE & ORSDefendants

Through: D-1 to 4 are ex-parte vide
order dated 05.08.2019.
Mr. Aryan Ahmed, proxy
counsel for D-5 along with
D-5 in person
Mr. Jayesh Kain, proxy
counsel for D6 (through
VC)
D-10 to 12 are ex-parte
vide order dated
15.05.2018.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. SHUCHI
LALER (DHJS)**

ORDER

23.04.2025

%

1. As per office note, documents filed by plaintiff. Hard copy of joint document schedule is already on record.
2. Learned counsel for defendant no.5 submits that original documents have not been brought by the plaintiff. Learned counsel for plaintiff has drawn the attention of this court to Rule 16 Chapter VII of Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018 wherein it is mentioned that the originals will not be required to be produced before the Joint Registrar for the purpose of marking of exhibits. In terms of aforesaid Rule, plaintiff is not required to produce the original documents before this court.
3. Adjournment sought on behalf of defendant no.6 on the ground that the main counsel is out of town. Heard.



Matter is adjourned. Learned counsels for parties shall attend the proceedings physically on the next date for conducting admission/denial of documents.

4. Re-notify the matter for admission/denial of documents/further proceedings on 31.07.2025.

**SHUCHI LALER (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

APRIL 23, 2025/cd

Click here to check corrigendum, if any