



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 632/2010**
ASHOK HOTEL(ITDC)Petitioner

Through: Mr. Jasbir Bidhuri, Advocate
(through VC)

versus

ASHOK HOTEL MAZDOOR JANTA UNION AND ORS
.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sneh Vardhan, Advocate for
R-1.
None for R-2 & 3.

CORAM: SH. SANJAY KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **30.08.2024**

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through physical/hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 240/2018 (by respondent no. 1 for compliance)

Reply filed by the petitioner/non-applicant is reported to be returned under objection and not re-filed. Counsel for the petitioner/non-applicant is directed to check with the registry and get the reply placed on record within a week with a copy to counsel for respondent no. 1. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within a week thereafter.

CM APPL. 9565/2020 (by respondent no. 1 for directions)

Reply stands filed. As prayed for, two weeks further time is granted to the applicant to file rejoinder.

W.P.(C) 632/2010

Pleadings qua respondent no. 1 are reported to be complete.



It is submitted by counsel for the petitioner that although respondent nos. 2 & 3 has been served initially but there has been no appearance on their behalf, nor counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent nos. 2 & 3. He request that fresh notice may be issued to respondent nos. 2 & 3.

On petitioner filing process fee along with fresh address, if any, of respondent nos. 2 & 3 within three days, let fresh notice be issued to respondent nos. 2 & 3 by ordinary process, speed post and courier, returnable on 18.09.2024. Dasti as well.

Updated written synopsis alongwith compilation of judgments as well as joint convenience compilation be filed on behalf of the parties within three weeks if not already filed.

REGISTRAR

AUGUST 30, 2024/PU