



\$~O-5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 3370/2014, CRL.M.A. 37113/2019 and I.A. 21905/2014**

SATBIR SINGH

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr.Uday Sharma, Advocate.

versus

TEJVIR SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Defendants

Through: Mr.Rishi Sood and Mr.Prafull Singh
Chander, Advocates for D-1 and 2.
Mr.Swastik Singh, Advocate for D-4
and 5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

01.08.2025

1. On perusal of the pleadings, the Court finds that following issues have arisen for adjudication:-

- (i) *Whether the Plaintiff has filed the present suit within the period of limitation? OPP*
- (ii) *Whether, the Plaintiff has property valued the suit for the proposes of court fees and jurisdiction? OPP*
- (iii) *Whether, the Plaintiff is entitled to a decree of declaration to the effect that the judgment and decree dated 29th of February 1984 passed by the Court of erstwhile Revenue Assistant in the suit bearing number 4/RA/1984 is null and void and not binding on the plaintiff? OPP*
- (iv) *Whether, Plaintiff is entitled to a decree of possession of suit*



property? OPP

(v) Whether, Plaintiff is entitled to a decree of mandatory injunction directing the defendants to restore the suit property to the plaintiff? OPP

(vi) Whether, Plaintiff is entitled to a decree of permanent and prohibitory injunction restraining the defendants to interfere with the rights of the plaintiff in the suit property? OPP

(vii) Whether, Plaintiff is entitled to a decree of Mesne profits? OPP

(viii) Whether, the present suit is barred under the provisions of Section 41(h) of Specific Relief Act applicable? OPD 4 and 5

(ix) Whether, the present suit is barred under Section 185 of the Delhi Land Reform Act, 1954? OPD

2. Let the matter be continued to proceed with the concerned Joint Registrar for taking up necessary further steps in accordance with extant rules on 26.08.2025.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

AUGUST 1, 2025

Nc/sph