

\$~6

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
CS(OS) 3676/2014
MASTER RACHIT @ CHINU

..... Plaintiff

Through Mr. Y. R. Sharma, Adv.

versus

SATYENDER DABAS & ORS

..... Defendant

Through Mr. Mukul Sharma, Adv. for D-1 to 4

CORAM:

ASHUTOSH KUMAR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)

ORDER

%

19.07.2016

CS(OS) 3676/2014 and IA No.23906/2014 under Order XXXIX
Rule 1&2 CPC moved on behalf of plaintiff

As per office note, written statement (by defendant no.1 to 4) to the amended plaint, replication to the same, reply and rejoinder in the captioned IA and documents have already been filed by both the parties.

At request, learned counsel for plaintiff is directed to supply copy of replication alongwith documents, to learned counsel defendants during the course of day.

Learned counsels for the parties have carried out admission/denial of documents of each other whereby learned counsel for defendants has admitted eight documents of plaintiff, which are marked as Ex.P-1 to Ex.P-8 and has denied rest of the documents,

Contd....2

whereas learned counsel for plaintiff has admitted four documents of defendants, which are marked as Ex.D-1 to Ex.D-4 and has denied rest of the documents.

Admission/denial stands complete, accordingly.

List the matter before the Hon'ble Court on date already fixed there i.e. on 25.07.2016, for further directions.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JULY 19, 2016/ab