



\$~27

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 8648/2016 & CMs 35612/2016, 18712/2018, 18771/2018,
41884/2019

ANITA DEVI - I

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Ravi Sikri, Sr. Adv. with
Mr.G.S.Mani, Mr.Deepak Yadav, Mr.Rohit
Agrawal, Advs.

versus

INDIAN OIL CORPORATION LTD & ORS Respondents

Through: Ms.Mala Narayan, Ms.Neha Dawar,
Mr.Digvijay, Mr.Shashwat Goel, Advs. for R1 to 4.
Ms.Reema Khurana, Mr.Kartik Rathi, Mr.Hemant
Dixit, Advs. for R-11.
Mr.Rizwan, Mr.Apoorv Singhal, Mr.Sameydeen,
Advs. for R-8, 12 and 13.
Mr.Jaydeep Sharma, Ms.Kanika Singh, Mr.Rishi
Vohra, Advs. for NDMC.
Mr.Ripu Daman Bhardwaj, CGSC with
Mr.T.P.Singh, Adv. for R-5.
Mr.Ajai Kumar, Adv. for R-7.
Mr.Atul K.Bandhu, Mr.Himanshu Khari,
Mr.Sarabh Aggarwal, Advs. for R-6.
Mr.Apoorv Kurup, Ms.Upama Bhattacharjee,
Advs. for R-17.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

%

09.10.2019

During the course of arguments, it is noticed that while the Experience Certificate dated 05.10.2007, issued by M/s Sidharth Filling Station and relied upon by the petitioner, is purported to have been signed 'for the proprietor', the learned counsel for the



respondent nos.1 to 4 submits that the said Experience Certificate is claimed to have been signed by the proprietor and the word 'for' has been inserted later in the copy which has been annexed with the petition.

As this is a serious allegation, the respondent nos.1 to 4 shall produce their original office file where the application of the petitioner is attached.

I may also note that in the investigation report, which has been relied upon while passing the Impugned Order dated 09.12.2015, the Committee itself records that the Experience Certificate was signed 'for the proprietor' and no allegation of interpolation in the said document has been made in the counter affidavit filed by the respondent nos.1 to 4.

List on 8th November, 2019.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

OCTOBER 09, 2019
RN