



\$~38 to 44

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 815/2018

ANIL DHALL

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Santosh Chaurihaa, Advocate.

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION .....Respondent

Through: Mrs. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP with  
Mr. T.N. Sharma, Ms. Anchal  
Kashyap and Ms. Ananya Shamsbery,  
Advocates.

+ CRL.A. 816/2018

ISHWAR SINGH MEHRA

.....Appellant

Through:

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION .....Respondent

Through: Mrs. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP with  
Mr. T.N. Sharma, Ms. Anchal  
Kashyap and Ms. Ananya Shamsbery,  
Advocates.

+ CRL.A. 820/2018

MAHESH CHAND AGGARWAL

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Faraz Maqbool, Ms. A. Sahitya  
Veena and Ms. Sana Juneja,  
Advocates.

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION .....Respondent

Through: Mrs. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP with  
Mr. T.N. Sharma, Ms. Anchal  
Kashyap and Ms. Ananya Shamsbery,



- Advocates.
- + CRL.A. 823/2018  
MUKESH JAIN .....Appellant  
Through: Mr. Utkarsh Vats, Advocate.
- versus
- CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION .....Respondent  
Through: Mrs. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP with  
Mr. T.N. Sharma, Ms. Anchal  
Kashyap and Ms. Ananya Shamsbery,  
Advocates.
- + CRL.A. 833/2018  
V S PILLAI .....Appellant  
Through:
- versus
- STATE (THROUGH CBI) .....Respondent  
Through: Mrs. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP with  
Mr. T.N. Sharma, Ms. Anchal  
Kashyap and Ms. Ananya Shamsbery,  
Advocates.
- + CRL.A. 834/2018  
ASHOK BHARTI .....Appellant  
Through:
- versus
- STATE (THROUGH CBI) .....Respondent  
Through: Mrs. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP with  
Mr. T.N. Sharma, Ms. Anchal  
Kashyap and Ms. Ananya Shamsbery,  
Advocates.
- + CRL.A. 868/2018  
M/S DURO MARKETING PVT. LTD. THROUGH ITS DIRECTOR



MUKESH JAIN

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Utkarsh Vats, Advocate.

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION .....Respondent

Through: Mrs. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP with  
Mr. T.N. Sharma, Ms. Anchal  
Kashyap and Ms. Ananya Shamsbery,  
Advocates.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA**

**ORDER**

**05.02.2026**

%

1. It is stated that the appellant in CRL.A. 834/2018 is no more. However, the sentence that has been passed by the trial court is a substantive sentence of imprisonment and fine. Therefore, it is only the substantive sentence of imprisonment that stands abated on the death of the appellant and the sentence of fine will not stand abated under Section 394(2) Cr.P.C.

2. Hence, list for hearing on 12.05.2026 and for the legal representatives of the appellant in CRL.A. 834/2018, if any to come on record.

3. The Registry is directed to requisition the digitized copy of



TCR, properly bookmark the same and make it available to the Court before the next date of hearing.

**CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA, J**

**FEBRUARY 5, 2026**

kd