



\$~28

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **LA.APP. 178/2018, CM APPL. 36657/2018, CM APPL. 22056/2024**  
**SARKAR DAULAT MADAR MAKBUJA (KHERA KHURD)**

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Nidhi Narwal, Adv. with Mr. Gaurav Singh, JE

versus

**UNION OF INDIA & ORS** .....Respondent  
Through: Mr. Keshav, Adv. for R-2to5

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU**

**ORDER**  
**13.01.2025**

%

1. None appears for Respondent No.1.
2. Learned Counsel for the Appellant requests for an adjournment in view of the fact that the arguing counsel is unwell.
3. The record shows that the written synopsis and convenience compilation has not been filed by the Appellant in terms of order dated 20.07.2023 despite a lapse of 1.5 years.
  - 3.1 It seems that the Appellant is not interested in prosecuting his case.
4. Let the Appellant be physically present before the Court on the next date of hearing.
5. In the meantime, the order dated 20.07.2023 shall be complied with by the Appellant and Respondent No.1.
  - 5.1 For this purpose, list before the concerned Registrar on 24.02.2025.



6. List before Court on 15.05.2025.
7. At request of the parties, let this matter be not shown in the category of “For Final Hearing” in the cause list.

**TARA VITASTA GANJU, J**

**JANUARY 13, 2025/jn**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*