



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **C.R.P. 163/2018**

POONAM VERMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vishwendra Verma,
Ms. Shivali & Mr. Archit
Verma, Advs.

versus

YASH PRAKASH SHARMA

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER
28.01.2025

%

CM APPL. 56840/2024 (for restoration) in C.R.P.163/2018

1. The report indicates that the notice could not be served since the respondent was not found at the address given in the memo of parties.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent is aware of pendency of the present petition and the same was also noted by the learned Executing Court.
3. He submits that the respondent is deliberately avoiding service of the notice. He submits that the matter is now listed for further proceedings before the Executing Court on 30.01.2025, and the Bailiff has been appointed by the learned Executing Court for taking the possession on 03.02.2025.
4. He requests that the service be permitted through the learned counsel who represents the respondent before the learned Executing Court.
5. Issue *dasti* notice.



6. The petitioner is permitted to serve the notice through the learned counsel who represents the respondent before the learned Executing Court.
7. Let notice be served within a period of two days.
8. List on 31.01.2025.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

JANUARY 28, 2025
"SS"