



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ C.R.P. 163/2018

POONAM VERMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vishwendra Verma, Ms. Shivali,
Mr. Abhishek Nagar and Mr. Archit
Verma, Advocates.

versus

YASH PRAKASH SHARMA

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

07.11.2024

%

CM APPL. 65120/2024 (Seeking Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The Application is accordingly disposed of.

CM APPL. 65119/2024

3. This Application has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner seeking stay of the Execution proceedings bearing No. Misc.Ex.P.46/2024 which has been filed by the Respondent.

4. It is submitted in the Application that the Revision Petition has been dismissed in default on 18.09.2024 for which a restoration Application has been filed in which notice has been issued to the Respondent.

5. It is asserted that the advance notice has been served upon the Respondent, however, during the pendency of the restoration Application, Execution Petition has been filed on which notice has



been issued for 27.11.2024. In case the Execution is allowed the Petitioner would suffer irreparable loss as the Suit filed by the Respondent under Order XXXVII of *Code of Civil Procedure, 1908* has been allowed without considering it on merits. Hence a prayer is made that the Execution Petition be stayed.

6. Notice of the Application be served upon the Respondent on filing of PF, to be served through ordinary post and electronic mode, returnable on 19.12.2024.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

NOVEMBER 7, 2024

rk