



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 742/2018 and C.M. No.36107/2018(stay)

COL (DR) PRITPAL SINGH GADHOK Appellant

Through: Mr. Vinay Sabharwal, Advocate with
Mr. Achin Goel, Advocate (M.
No.9810080522).

versus

JATINDER KAUR NANDA & ORS Respondents

Through: Mr. Munish Kochhar, Advocate for
respondent No.1 (M.
No.9810010853).
Mr. Ravi Bassi, Advocate for
respondent Nos.2 and 3 (M.
No.9810021293).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **13.12.2018**

1. Counsel for the appellant states that appellant has found a reputed builder/collaborator in the name of Uppals and who would in terms of the order dated 5.9.2018 reconstruct the property and give to each of the parties their respective share as per the preliminary decree.

2. Respondents have a grievance that they are not being contacted either by the builder or the appellant for proceeding ahead as per the contents of the order dated 5.9.2018 and they seek that directions be issued



so that there is no delay in arriving at a settlement with the builder/collaborator.

3. Accordingly, appellant will ensure that complete details of the builder are given within a period of one week to the counsels for the respondents and thereafter a joint meeting will be held of the parties and their representatives with the builder so as to give effect to the order dated 5.9.2018 passed by this Court. The builder/collaborator is requested to expedite the matter and to see that if there is any agreement, the same is entered into between the parties positively by 31.1.2019.

4. In case, talks with the builder who is brought in by the appellant are not successful, it will always be open to the respondents to bring their own builder and to which appellant can have no objection because the object is to get the best price of the property so that all the co-sharers as per the impugned judgment get maximum value of their share.

5. List on 7th March, 2019.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

DECEMBER 13, 2018

Ne