

\$~8

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO 440/2016 and C.M.Appl.No.32846/2016**

**MAHIPAL SINGH** ..... Appellant

Through: Mr. P.K.Jain, Adv.

versus

**RAJINDER PRAKASH PAHUJA & ORS** ..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Adv. for R-4

**CORAM:**

**SH. RAVINDER SINGH (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**ORDER**

% **15.11.2017**

Ld. counsel for appellant undertakes to supply complete set of paper book to ld. counsel for respondent no.4.

Steps have not been taken for issuance of notice to respondent no.1, 2(i) and 2(ii).

Record reveals that appellant has filed affidavit of service of notice upon respondent no.1, 2(i) and 2(ii).

Record also reveals that appellant has not given the correct address of respondent no.2(i) and 2(ii) in the memo of parties. He is directed to file the fresh address of these respondents.

Upon filing of fresh address of the respondent nos.2(i) and 2(ii), let fresh notice be issued to the respondent no.1, 2(i) and 2(ii) on filing of PF, through all modes, after making corrections in the memo of parties.

List on 15.02.2018.

**RAVINDER SINGH (DHJS)  
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**NOVEMBER 15, 2017/dsn**