



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 441/2018**

LAKHVINDER SINGH & ORS.

..... Plaintiffs

Through: Appearance not given.

versus

DALJEET KAUR & ORS.

..... Defendants

Through: Ms. Anshu Agarwal, proxy counsel for
Mr. Rajeev Duggal, Adv for D12 & 13.
Mr. Aakashdeep, Adv for D6 to 8
Mr. Pawan Mathur, Standing Counsel
for DDA.

CORAM:

**SH. RAJ KUMAR TRIPATHI (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

% **10.12.2018**

Affidavit of compliance filed by plaintiffs.

Defendants 1 to 3 remained unserved.

Summons of the suit and notice of injunction application issued to defendants 1 to 3 received back unserved with report “ premises locked”.

Defendants 4, 6, 7 & 8 have been served on 31.10.2018. Defendant No.5 has been served on 24.10.2018. Defendants 9 & 11 have been served on 14.11.2018, Defendant No.10 has been served on 23.11.2018, defendant Nos. 12 & 13 have been served on 26.10.2018 and defendant No.14 has been served on 29.10.2018.



Counsel for defendants 6 to 8, 12 & 13 and 14 appear and mark their presence on behalf of said defendants. They submit that they would be filing their vakalatnama on behalf of said defendants within a week. Let same be filed as submitted.

Defendants are directed to file their response to the suit of plaintiffs, reply to injunction application alongwith affidavit for admission/denial of documents within the statutory period as prescribed in CPC with advance copy to counsel for plaintiffs.

Plaintiffs shall file replication, rejoinder and affidavit for admission/denial of documents within four weeks thereafter.

Let fresh steps for service of defendants 1 to 3 by all permissible modes be taken by plaintiffs within a week, returnable for next date of hearing.

Renotify for service report qua defendants 1 to 3, completion of pleadings and marking of exhibits to the documents on 19.02.2019.

**RAJ KUMAR TRIPATHI (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

DECEMBER 10, 2018
sk