



\$~4

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(OS) 395/2016

SH CHARANJIV SINGH GADH

..... Plaintiff

Through **Mr. Neeraj Grover, Mr. Mahir
Malhotra and Ms. Smriti Papreja,
Advs.**

versus

SH SARABJIT SINGH & ORS

..... Defendant

Through **Mr. Jagjit Singh, Adv. for D-1
Mr. Hemant Malhotra, Adv. D-2 & 3
Mr. Naveen Raheja & Ms. Aditi
Shastri, Advs. for D-4
Mr. Mukul Katyal, Adv. for D-7
Mr. Kshitij Sharda, Adv. for D-8**

CORAM:

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% 17.02.2022

**The matter has been taken up through video conferencing,
in terms of directions vide Circular No.99/RG/DHC/2022 dated
11.02.2022.**

**IA No.8929/2021 u/O XI R 1 CPC seeking interrogatories from
defendant no.1 to 3 and 7**

Reply to the captioned IA on behalf of defendant no.7 is only
on record but rejoinder to the same has not been filed by the
applicants. It is stated by learned counsel for the applicants that some
time is required for filing of rejoinder to the same.

It is stated by learned counsel for defendant no.2&3 that
advance copy of proposed reply on behalf said defendants has already
been served to the plaintiff and that some time is required for filing of
same with the registry and that same will be filed on record after
getting it signed from defendant no.3, who is residing in Punjab.

Contd.....2



-2-

Learned counsel for plaintiff also submits that sometime is required for filing of rejoinder to the reply of defendant no.2&3 as he has received the copy in the morning itself.

Reply to the captioned IA not filed by defendant no.1. Learned counsel for defendant no.1 submits that sometime is required for filing of reply.

In view of emergent situation caused by Covid-19 pandemic, no adverse order is being passed today. Since the date before the Hon'ble Court is short, no further directions for completion of pleadings are being given at this stage.

IA No.8930/2021 u/O I R 10(2) CPC for impleadment of Mr. Kamal Arora & Standard Chartered Bank

Vakalatnama on behalf of Mr. Kamal Arora has been filed on record. However, reply to the captioned IA has not been filed by any of the proposed defendants till date. There is no appearance on behalf of proposed defendants also to explain in this regard.

In view of emergent situation caused by Covid-19 pandemic, no adverse order is being passed today. Since the date before the Hon'ble Court is short, no further directions for completion of pleadings are being given at this stage. Copy of order-sheet be sent to proposed defendants through electronic mode for next date.

IA No.8931/2021 u/O VI R 17 CPC moved by plaintiff for amendment of the plaint

Reply to the captioned IA of defendant no.8 only is on record.

Contd.....3



-3-

Learned counsel for plaintiff submits that some time is required for filing of rejoinder to the same.

Defendant no.5 &7 have already stated that reply to the captioned IA is not required to be filed as said defendants have no objection to the same.

It is stated by learned counsel for defendant no.2&3 that advance copy of proposed reply on behalf said defendants has already been served to the plaintiff and that some time is required for filing of same with the registry and that same will be filed on record after getting it signed from defendant no.3, who is residing in Punjab. Learned counsel for plaintiff also submits that sometime is required for filing of rejoinder to the reply of defendant no.2&3 as he has received the copy in the morning itself.

Reply on behalf of remaining defendants to the captioned IA has not been filed till date.

In view of emergent situation caused by Covid-19 pandemic, no adverse order is being passed today. Since the date before the Hon'ble Court is short, no further directions for completion of pleadings are being given at this stage.

IA No.16615/2021 u/O VI R 17 CPC; and IA No.16616/2021 seeking exemption; both IAs moved by plaintiff

Defendant no.5&7 have already stated that reply to the captioned IAs is not required to be filed as said defendants have no

Contd.....4



-4-

objection to the same.

Reply on behalf of defendant no.8 is on record. It is stated by learned counsel for plaintiff that sometime is required for filing of rejoinder to the same.

Reply on behalf of remaining non-applicants has not been filed.

It is stated by learned counsel for defendant no.2&3 that advance copy of proposed reply on behalf said defendants has already been served to the plaintiff and that some time is required for filing of same with the registry and that same will be filed on record after getting it signed from defendant no.3, who is residing in Punjab. Learned counsel for plaintiff also submits that sometime is required for filing of rejoinder to the reply of defendant no.2&3 as he has received the copy in the morning itself.

In view of emergent situation caused by Covid-19 pandemic, no adverse order is being passed today. Since the date before the Hon'ble Court is short, no further directions for completion of pleadings are being given at this stage. Copy of order sheet be sent to the defendants who have not appeared today through counsel, through electronic mode for next date.

CS(OS) 395/2016

The matter is listed for marking of exhibits to the documents.

Amended memo of parties and joint schedule of documents were to be filed by the plaintiff. In the meantime, applications for

Contd.....5



-5-

amendment of plaint and another application for adding of additional defendants have been filed by the plaintiff.

Since the date before the Hon'ble Court is short and at request, the matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Court on date already fixed there i.e. on 22.02.2022, for further directions.

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
FEBRUARY 17, 2022/ab

Click here to check corrigendum, if any