



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 395/2016**
SH CHARANJIV SINGH GADH Plaintiff
Through **Mr. Neeraj Grover & Mr. Mahir**
Malhotra, Adv.

versus

SH SARABJIT SINGH & ORS Defendant
Through **Mr. Jagjit Singh, Mr. Preet Singh &**
Mr. Vipin Chaudhary, Advs. for D-1
Mr. Pankaj Malhotra, Adv. for D-2&3
Ms. Aditi Shastri, Adv. for D-4
Ms. Mehak Nakra, Adv. for D-5
Mr. Mukul Katyal, Adv. for D-7
Mr. Kshitij Sharda, Adv. for D-8

CORAM:

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% **19.01.2022**

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing, in terms of directions vide Circular No.1/RG/DHC/2022 dated 12.01.2022.

IA No.8929/2021 u/O XI R 1 CPC seeking interrogatories from defendant no.1 to 3 and 7

Reply to the captioned IA on behalf of defendant no.7 is already on record but rejoinder to the same has not been filed by the applicants. At request, rejoinder, if any, to the same filed by the applicants within one week with copy to opposite side.

Let reply, if any, on behalf of defendant no.1 to 3 be filed within two weeks with copy to opposite side, who may file rejoinder, if any, within one week thereafter.

Re-notify on next date.

IA No.8930/2021 u/O I R 10(2) CPC for impleadment of Mr.

Contd.....2



-2-

Kamal Arora & Standard Chartered Bank

As per office note, vakalatnama on behalf of proposed defendant Mr. Kamal Arora has been filed on record. None of the proposed defendants i.e. Standard Chartered Bank and Mr. Kamal Arora, have filed any by reply to the captioned IA. Let reply, if any, qua the captioned IA be filed by proposed defendants within two weeks with rejoinder thereto within one week thereafter with copies to be exchanged.

Re-notify for completion of pleadings qua the captioned IA on next date.

IA No.8931/2021 u/O VI R 17 CPC moved by plaintiff for amendment of the plaint

Reply of defendant no.8 in on record. At request, rejoinder to the same be filed by the plaintiff within two weeks with copy to opposite side.

Defendant no.5 has already given no objection to the captioned IA.

Today it is stated by learned counsel for defendant no.7 that reply to the captioned IA is not required to be filed by said defendant.

Reply, if any, be also filed by remaining defendants within two weeks with copy to opposite side, who may file rejoinder, if any, within one week thereafter with copy to opposite side.

Re-notify for completion of pleadings qua the captioned IA on next date of hearing.

Contd.....3



-3-

IA No.16615/2021 u/O VI R 17 CPC; and IA No.16616/2021 seeking exemption; both IAs moved by plaintiff

Reply to the captioned IA has not been filed by any of the defendant.

At this stage, learned counsel for defendant no.5&7 submit that reply to the captioned IAs is not required to be filed as said defendants have no objection to the same.

Let reply, if any, be filed by remaining defendants within two weeks with copy to opposite side, who is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any, thereto within one week thereafter with copy to opposite side.

Re-notify for completion of pleadings qua the captioned IAs on next date.

CS(OS) 395/2016

The matter is listed for marking of exhibits to the documents.

Amended memo of parties and joint schedule of documents were to be filed by the plaintiff. In the meantime, applications for amendment of plaint and another application for adding of additional defendants have been filed by the plaintiff.

Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on 17.02.2022.

**SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JANUARY 19, 2022/ab

Click here to check corrigendum, if any