

\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CS(OS) 395/2016
 SH CHARANJIV SINGH GADH

..... Plaintiff

Through Mr. Mahir Malhotra, Ms. Anushka
 Arora & Ms. Rudrartti Kaur Advs.

versus

SH SARABJIT SINGH & ORS

..... Defendant

Through Mr. Jagjit Singh and Mr. Vipin
 Chaudhry for D-1, Mr. Pankaj
 Malhotra for D-2&3, Mr. Rakesh
 Mittal for D-4, Mr. Vikrant for D-7,
 Mr. Shubhanker Sharda for D-8 and
 Mr. Suresh Sharma for D-9, Advs.

CORAM:
SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
ORDER
% **16.05.2019**

**IA No.14919/2017 u/O I R 10 CPC moved by plaintiff for
impleadment of proposed defendant no.8 to 11**

Learned counsel for proposed defendant no.8 has already stated that reply to the captioned IA is not required to be filed as proposed defendant no.8 has no objection to the captioned IA.

Reply on behalf of proposed defendant no.9 and rejoinder to the same are on record. Thus pleadings qua D-9 are complete.

Proposed defendant no.10&11 were unserved. Learned counsel

for defendant no.2&3 submits that requisite affidavit that proposed defendant no.11 was a tenant and he has since vacated the premises in question could not be filed as defendant no. 2 &3 are out of India. At request, let affidavit of defendant no.2&3 in terms of previous order be filed by next date of hearing.

Learned counsel for plaintiff submits that he is not pressing his IA qua proposed defendant no.10 at this stage.

Re-notify for further proceedings and consideration on this IA on 19.07.2019.

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MAY 16, 2019