



\$~2

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

LA.APP. 12/2014

SHRI MUKHTARE & ORS

..... Appellant

Through: None

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Manisha, Advocate for Mr. Yeeshu
Jain, Advocate for R-1-UOI

CORAM: MS. KAUSHLYA VERMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

18.05.2023

CM APPLs.42958/2022 (u/O 22 Rule 3 CPC for bringing on record the LRs of appellant No.1), 42959/2022 (delay), 42963/2022 (u/O 22 Rule 3 CPC for bringing on record the LRs of appellant No.10), 42964/2022 (delay), 42976/2022 (u/O 22 Rule 3 CPC for bringing on record the LRs of appellant No.4), 42977/2022 (delay), 43092/2022(u/O 22 Rule 3 CPC for bringing on record the LRs of appellant No.2) & 43093/2022(delay)

Respondent No.1 has not filed the reply. Let reply, if any, be filed by the said respondent within four weeks with advance copy to learned counsel for the appellants, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, before the next date.

So far as respondent No.2 is concerned, notice in terms of order dated 03.02.2023 could not be issued to respondent No.2 for want of process fee. Today, there is no appearance on behalf of the appellants.

On appellants taking steps within two weeks, issue notice to respondent No.2, by all permissible modes, returnable on 22.09.2023.

REGISTRAR

Later on, while the court was on, Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate



appeared for the appellants and was apprised of the order passed today.

REGISTRAR

MAY 18, 2023

vk