



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 2536/2013**

SATINDER SINGH & ORS

.....Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Deepak Biswas, Mr. Mayank Rai
and Mr. Siddharth Swain, Advs. for
P-1 and erstwhile P-2.
Ms. Damini Chawla, Adv. for
applicant in I.A.No.31290/2025.

versus

RAJINDER KUMAR & ORS

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Jitender Chaudhary, Ms. Shilpa
Chohan, Mr. Mohit and Ms. Aditi
Tripathi, Advs. for D-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

10.03.2026

I.A. 31290/2025 (by the applicant under Order XXII Rule 10 read with Section 151 CPC)

1. The present application has been filed by the applicant namely, M/s Crafty Commerce Enterprise Pvt. Ltd. It is stated in the application that the present suit has been filed seeking specific performance of the Agreement to Sell dated 06.01.2011 executed by the original defendant no.1 [now deceased] and defendant no.2 for sale of property bearing No.S-257, Greater Kailash, Part-I, New Delhi-110048.
2. The suit was originally filed by the three plaintiffs. During pendency of the suit, plaintiff no.3, who is one of the vendee named in the Agreement



to Sell dated 06.01.2011, assigned his rights in the said Agreement to Sell to the present applicant.

3. It is further stated that Clause 12 of the said Agreement to Sell allows the plaintiffs to nominate and/or assign all rights under the Agreement to Sell in favour of any person or persons.

4. There is no appearance on behalf of defendant no.1. Mr. Jitender Chaudhary, learned counsel appearing on behalf of defendant no.2 submits that he has no objection in case the present application is allowed.

5. In view of the submissions made by Ms. Damini Chawla, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant, as well as, no objection given by Mr. Chaudhary, the present application is allowed and the applicant is substituted in place of plaintiff no.3 namely, Mr. D.K. Sharma.

6. The application is disposed of.

I.A. 3515/2026 (by applicant under Order XXII Rule 10 read with Section 151 CPC)

7. The present application has been filed with the identical prayer as in I.A.No.31290/2025. In this application, the applicants are Mr. Jaspreet Singh Rai and Mr. Rohit Nagpal.

8. It is alleged in the application that during pendency of the suit, the applicants have purchased the rights of plaintiff no.2 namely, Mr. Rajeev Dhingra in terms of Clause 12 of the Agreement to Sell dated 06.01.2011.

9. There is no appearance on behalf of defendant no.1. Mr. Jitender Chaudhary, learned counsel appearing on behalf of defendant no.2 submits that he has no objection in case the present application is allowed.

10. In view of the above, the present application is allowed and the applicants i.e. Mr. Jaspreet Singh Rai and Mr. Rohit Nagpal are substituted



in place of plaintiff no.2 namely, Mr. Rajeev Dhingra.

11. The application is disposed of.

CS(OS) 2536/2013 & I.A. 479/2020 (by plaintiffs under Order XII Rule 6 read with Order XV and Section 151 CPC)

12. Let revised amended memo of parties be filed by the plaintiffs.

13. List for framing of issues before Court on 04.08.2026.

MARCH 10, 2026

aj

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J