



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 321/2015**
VIBHA GULATIPetitioner

Through: Ms. Vidhi Jain, Advocate
versus

SAURABH SABHARWALRespondent
Through: Mr. R.D. Singh and Ms. Jyoti Thakur,
Advocates along with
respondent-in-person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **23.12.2024**

1. At the very outset, there is a request for adjournment from the side of petitioner as arguing counsel for petitioner is indisposed.
2. Keeping in mind the reason assigned, adjournment request has not been opposed.
3. Fact, however, remains that the present petition is of the year 2015 and, therefore, on the next date, both the sides would come prepared for the purpose of advancing arguments.
4. Though, petitioner does not deserve any further opportunity for filing any rejoinder-affidavit, keeping in the mind overall facts and in the interest of justice, petitioner would be at liberty to file rejoinder-affidavit ,if any, within four weeks from today with advance copy to the opposite side.
5. It is, however, made clear that this would be last and final opportunity for the aforesaid purpose.
6. List on 24.03.2025.

MANOJ JAIN, J

DECEMBER 23, 2024/dr