



\$~5

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

RFA 62/2011

**SARVAJEET KAUR**

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Sudhir Sukhija, Advocate  
(8750602223)

versus

**TRILOCHAN KAUR & ANR**

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Poonam Rajesh, Advocate for  
Mr. Manoj Khatri, Advocate for  
respondent No.2 (8810619596)

**CORAM: MS. KAUSHLYA VERMA, REGISTRAR**

**ORDER**

%

**19.05.2023**

**CM APPL.38122/2022 (early hearing)**

1. Learned counsel for the appellant has drawn my attention to para 3 of impugned judgment dated 25.09.2010 (page 36 of case file) which inter alia runs as under:-

*“After service of the summons of the suit, the defendant no.1 though initially put up appearance but since did not take requisite steps for contesting the matter, she was proceeded ex-parte....”.*

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that respondent No.1 herein, who was defendant No.1 before the learned subordinate Court, has been served in the present appeal through publication in newspaper “Statesman” Delhi Edition dated 03.09.2013 for 27.09.2013. However, none has appeared for respondent No.1 since her service.



3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that on 06.02.2023 there was an omission on his part to bring this fact to the knowledge of the Hon'ble Court and requests that the matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Court in view of aforesaid backdrop.
4. As requested, list this matter before the Hon'ble Court on 12.07.2023.

**REGISTRAR**

**MAY 19, 2023**

vk