



\$~2

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
CS(COMM) 1038/2016
M/S FUTURISTIC PROPERTIES PVT LTD

..... Plaintiff

Through Mr. M.S. Patwa, Adv.

versus

M/S KUNTH SECURITIES PVT LTD

..... Defendant

Through Mr. Rajinder, Adv. for D-2.
Ms. Sangeeta Jain, Adv. for D-3.

CORAM:

SANJAY JINDAL (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% 28.08.2018

CS(COMM) 1038/2016

Defendant no. 4 is already ex-parte vide order dated 16.11.2017.

Right of defendant no. 5 to file written statement already closed vide order dated 21.03.2018.

As per office report, no replication to the written statement of defendant no. 2 & 3 has been filed by plaintiff but learned counsel for plaintiff submits that he has filed the replication vide diary no. 496592/2017 but he is unable to tell the date.

It is submitted by learned counsel for plaintiff that he has filed an application for service of defendant no. 1 through defendant no. 3 being Director of the company vide diary no. 188494/2018 but said application is not available on record. Learned counsel for plaintiff

Contd.....2



-2-

undertakes to check the same with the registry and to do the needful to bring the same on record.

He further states that he has also filed reply to IA no. 11895/2016 vide diary no. 38746/2017 and another IA vide diary no.34352/2018 but the same are not on record. He undertakes to check the same with the registry and to do the needful.

Since, there are several applications filed by plaintiff which are not on record, the matter is adjourned for further consideration on 11.09.2018.

SANJAY JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

AUGUST 28, 2018/pk