



\$~21 & 22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 772/2009

RAMESH CHANDER

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Arun Bhardwaj, Sr. Adv with Ms. Muskan Jain, Mr. Pranava Rastogi, Ms. Khushi Sood, Ms. Ashu Tiwari, Adv.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State.

22

+ CRL.REV.P. 684/2009

SHAKTI SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sunil Ahuja, Adv

versus

ATUL DABAS & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Arun Bhardwaj, Sr. Adv with Ms. Muskan Jain, Mr. Pranava Rastogi, Ms. Khushi Sood, Ms. Ashu Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State.

Mr. Rajeev Gaur 'Naseem', Adv for R1, R2 & R3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIMAL KUMAR YADAV

ORDER

30.04.2026

%



1. Hybrid Mode.

CRL.A. 772/2009

2. The issue here has direct connection with CRL.REV.P. 684/2009 and therefore, the matter stands adjourned inasmuch as it is yet to be resolved.

CRL.REV.P. 684/2009

3. The issue is hanging in fire before the Court, as to whether the Revision Petition is to be heard by this Court, since Section 302 Indian Penal Code,(IPC) is in contention, qua which learned counsel for Respondent No. 1,2 & 3 has placed reliance on two judgments i.e. *2015 Cri.L.J. 3220 Ramphal vs. State & Ors., AIR 2025 SC 5476 State of Chhattisgarh vs. Amit Aishwarya Jogi*, which according to him settles the issue that it is to be heard by a single bench.

4. In the meantime, learned APP and other parties may assist the Court on this aspect.

5. List on 14.05.2026.

VIMAL KUMAR YADAV, J

APRIL 30, 2026/hk