



\$~63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO 76/2010**

MARY LEELA THUKRALAppellant

Through: Mr. Ramesh Kumar, Adv

versus

DEEPIKA HINGORANI & ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Vishesh Wadhwa with
Ms.Swadha Gupta, Ms. Shubhangi
Singh & Mr. Vishwam Mishra,
Advs for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

%

24.01.2025

CM APPL. 4478/2025 (under Order I Rule 10 (2) CPC for Impleadment)

1. Learned counsel submits that in terms of judgment dated 03.10.2024, the property is to be sold by 31.01.2025. However, the property to be re-sold is not freehold and for getting a better price, the property needs to be first converted from leasehold to freehold. It is prayed that the DDA be made a party in these proceedings so that appropriate directions may be given to DDA for conversion of the property from leasehold to freehold.

2. The respondent No.1 is at liberty to take up the matter with DDA but simply on this ground that only for the purpose of conversion of the property from leasehold to freehold, DDA cannot be impleaded as a party to the petition.



3. This application is therefore, dismissed.

CM APPL. 69475/2024 (u/S 151 CPC for seeking issuance of appropriate directions and orders)

4. Learned counsel submits that certain documents as listed in Para 7 of the application are required from the appellant for conversion of the property from leasehold to freehold. However, learned counsel for the appellant states that appellant has expired since after passing the judgment dated 03.10.2024.

5. It is submitted that in any case, the documents sought are not required in as much as the property is to be transferred in the name of respondent No.1 on the basis of probate granted by the Court.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for the appellant states that he would again take up the matter with DDA and find out as to what documents are required by the DDA for the purpose of conversion.

7. The learned counsel for respondent No.1 states that at least two months extension is required for the purpose of conversion of the property from leasehold to freehold and for its sale.

8. The learned counsel for the appellant has no objection.

9. In view of the aforesaid, the time limit as provided in the judgment dated 03.10.2024 is extended to 24.03.2025.

10. The application accordingly stands disposed of.

11. The next date i.e.15.07.2025 stands cancelled.

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

JANUARY 24, 2025

Sk/r