

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 243/2011

HARI SHARAN SINGH @ SUSHIL KUMAR Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Niraj Chaudhary, Advocate with
Mr. A.S. Sharma, Advocate.

versus

RAVINDER KUMAR & ORS Defendant

Through: Mr. Nitin Bhardwaj, Advocate for D-
1.
Mr. Anil Nag, Mr. Abhilash Nag &
Mr. Arun Singh, Advocates for D-2.
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh Khatri,
Advocate for Mr. Subhash Kamboj,
Advocate for D-7 to 9.
Ms. Inderjeet Sidhu, Advocate for D-
10.

CORAM:

SH. ANIL KUMAR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% **08.02.2018**

**IA No. 600/2018 (moved by defendant no. 1 under Section 5 of the
Limitation Act).**

It is submitted by learned counsel for the plaintiff that he has no objection if the present application being allowed and the delay of 7 days in filing the reply is condoned.

In view of no objection on the part of plaintiff, the delay of 7 days in filing the reply is condoned.

Accordingly, application stands disposed of.

IA No. 9808/2017 (filed by plaintiff under Order VII Rule 14(3) CPC and IA No. 12614/2017 (under Order VI Rule 17 CPC filed by plaintiff)

Replies have already been filed. The same is taken on record as delay in filing replies has been condoned.

Learned counsel for the plaintiff seeks some more time for filing rejoinder. Let the same be filed within three weeks with advance copy to the opposite side.

Put up on **22nd March, 2018.**

**ANIL KUMAR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

FEBRUARY 08, 2018/p