

\$~9

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(OS) 243/2011

HARI SHARAN SINGH @ SUSHIL KUMAR

..... Plaintiff

Through Mr Niraj Chaudhary, Advocate

versus

RAVINDER KUMAR & ORS

..... Defendant

Through Mr Nitin Bhardwaj, Advocate for D-1.

Mr Anil Nag, Advocate for D-2.

Mr Tushar Datta, Advocate for D-3 to 6.

Mr Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate for D-7 to 9.

Mr Inderjeet Sidhu, Advocate for D-10.

D-1 in person.

CORAM:

SH. ANIL KUMAR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

%

19.09.2019

As per office note, affidavit in evidence filed by defendant no.1, 7 & 9 is returned under objection. Let objections be removed and same be placed on record.

Learned counsel for D-2 submits that he has prepared affidavit inadvertently on legal size paper which could not be accepted by Registry & seeks time for filing the same on proper paper size.

Advance copy of affidavit of defendant no.2 supplied.

Defendant no.2 is directed to file affidavit within a week.

Remaining defendants are granted one more opportunity to file

affidavit of witnesses.

Learned counsel for plaintiff requests for imposing cost on the defendants for not filing the affidavit.

Request not accepted.

List the matter for DE on 19.11.19.

ANIL KUMAR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

SEPTEMBER 19, 2019/gk

Click here to check

corrigendum