



\$~SB-R-2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3969/2010 & CRL.M.A. 18857/2010

NITIN G.SHAH

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

STATE & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ritesh Kumar Bahri, APP for
State with Ms. Divya Yadav,
Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

29.01.2026

%

1. None appears on behalf of the petitioner.
2. The plea of the petitioner is that, *vide* the impugned order dated 15.03.2007, the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, has erroneously set aside the order passed by the learned ACMM whereby cognizance had been taken of the offences punishable under Sections 193 and 196 of the Indian Penal Code against respondent no. 2- Hanuman Dan (Inspector, Delhi Police).
3. In such circumstances, let a Status Report be called from the learned Trial Court, as the perusal of the record indicates that there is no stay of the proceedings before the learned Trial Court and the learned ASJ has already set aside the cognizance order against the respondent no. 2.
4. Let the matter remain on Board.

MADHU JAIN, J

JANUARY 29, 2026/ys/P