

\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 398/2016**
SPICEJET LIMITED Plaintiff

Through Mr. Kshitiz Khera, Adv.

Versus

MR SANTOSH KUMAR SINGH Defendant

Through Ms. Gitanshi Arora, Adv.

CORAM:

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% **26.07.2019**

IA No.10014/2019 u/S 151 CPC moved by plaintiff for substitution of the authorised representative of the plaintiff

Learned counsel for defendant is present and accepts notice of the captioned IA. It is stated that reply to the captioned IA is not required to be filed as defendant has no objection to the captioned IA.

In view of above and in view of no objection given by learned counsel for defendant, the captioned IA stands allowed to the extent that Sh. Avadhesh Kumar Avasthi be substituted as AR of the plaintiff in place of earlier AR Mr. Sanjay Sharma, who has left the employment of the plaintiff company. The freshly filed evidence affidavit of substituted AR is taken on record. It is stated that copy of the same already supplied to the opposite side. IA stands disposed of.

CS(OS) 398/2016

Re-notify the matter for PE. PW1 is present but discharged

Contd.....2

-2-

un-examined for today only as leading counsel for defendant is not available today and evidence in the time bound matter CS(OS) 617/2006 is being recorded, which is to take time. Accordingly the matter stands discharged.

Re-notify the matter for PE on 10.10.2019 at 2.00 p.m.

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JULY 26, 2019/ab

[Click here to check corrigendum](#)