



\$~5

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(COMM) 1001/2016

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION Plaintiff

Through **Mr. Kartik Rathi & Ms. Reema Khorana, Advs.**

versus

M/S TRIGEN ELECTRONIC PRIVATE LIMITED Defendant

Through **Mr. Deepak Rikhar, Adv.**

CORAM:

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% 16.11.2018

CS(COMM) 1001/2016 and IA No.13920/2018 moved by plaintiff for condonation of delay of 8 days in filing of amended plaint

It is stated by learned counsel for defendant that there is no requirement for filing of reply to the captioned IA and that he will straightaway argue the captioned IA. He further submits that defendant has also moved an application for condonation of delay of 3 days in filing of written statement. However the said application is not on record. Learned counsel undertakes to check up the same with the registry and get the same placed on record. Copy of said IA already supplied. Learned counsel for plaintiff submits that there is no requirement for filing any reply to condonation of delay application.

Re-notify the matter for consideration on 20.12.2018.

**SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

NOVEMBER 16, 2018/ab