



\$~9

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(COMM) 1001/2016

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

..... Plaintiff

Through Mr. Kartik Rathi, Adv.

Versus

M/S TRIGEN ELECTRONIC PRIVATE LIMITED Defendant

Through Mr. Deepak Rikhari, Adv.

CORAM:

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

%

12.09.2018

Learned counsel for plaintiff submits that amended plaint was re-filed yesterday. He undertakes to check up the same with the registry and get the same placed on record. Original documents filed by plaintiff. It is stated by learned counsel for plaintiff that copies of the same will be supplied to learned counsel for defendant during the course of day against due acknowledgement.

It is stated by learned counsel for defendant that Vakalatnama shall be filed within one week. He also submits that written statement alongwith an application for condonation of delay shall be filed as per law with advance copy to opposite side. Plaintiff may file replication, if any, on receipt of the written statement within two weeks with copy to opposite side.

Written statement shall be taken on record as per the

Contd.....2



-2-

Commercial Courts, Commercial Division and Commercial Appellate Division of High Courts Act, 2015.

Re-notify the matter for completion of pleadings on 08.10.2018.

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

SEPTEMBER 12, 2018/ab