



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 73/2009**

VINOD KUMAR TYAGI & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Akshay, Adv. with Ms. Preeti,
Adv.

versus

MANAGEMENT OF AIR FORCE AUDITORIUMRespondent

Through: Mr. Shubham Yadav, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

%

01.08.2024

1. Once again, a request for adjournment is made on behalf of the Petitioners. The same request was also made on 10.05.2024 and 13.05.2024.
2. Learned Counsel for the Respondent submits that by order dated 12.12.2019, the learned Counsel for the Petitioners informed the Court that the Petitioner No.5 had expired and had sought time to file a necessary Application in this regard.
3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners submits that the Application was filed in May, 2024 after the lapse of five years. However, the same is not on record.
4. It seems that the Petitioners are not interested in pursuing the matter. A final opportunity is given to the Petitioners to make submissions failing which the matter shall be dismissed in default for non-prosecution.
5. List on 25.11.2024.
6. In the meantime, learned Counsel for the parties shall file their



respective written synopsis, not exceeding three pages each, along with the compilation of judgments, if any, relied upon at least one week before the next date of hearing.

6.1 All judgments sought to be relied upon by the learned Counsel for the parties shall be filed with an index which also sets out the relevant paragraph numbers and the proposition of law that it sets forth.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

AUGUST 1, 2024/SA

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)