



\$~34 & 35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 458/2009

NAND LAL & ANRAppellants

Through: Mr. S.S. Tomar, Advocate.

versus

RAM CHANDRespondent

Through: Mr. J.K. Chawla, Advocate.

35

RFA 459/2009

NIRMAL @ NIRMALA & ANRAppellants

Through: Mr. S.S. Tomar, Advocate.

versus

RAM CHANDRespondent

Through: Mr. J.K. Chawla, Advocate.
Mr. Ripudaman Bhardwaj, CGSC
for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

19.09.2025

1. Despite the present appeals being fixed as per the convenience of the learned counsel for the parties, there was no appearance on behalf of the respondent at the time fixed. Moreover, no written synopsis have been filed by him as well.
2. It is already 04:35 P.M.
3. At this stage, learned counsel for the respondent has entered



appearance.

4. Due to paucity of time, the present matter could not be taken up for hearing.
5. Re-notify on 30.10.2025 at 03:30 P.M.
6. In the meanwhile, let learned counsel for the respondent file the written synopsis before the said date of hearing.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

SEPTEMBER 19, 2025/NA