



\$~9

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 7931/2013

RADHA CHAUHAN

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Ankita Patnaik, Advocate
(DHCLSC).

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Pradyut Kashyap, Advocate for
Mr. Satyakam, ASC for GNCTD/
R-2/ Delhi Police.

Mr. Kamal Digpaul and Ms. Ishita
Pathak, Advocates for Mr. Ajay
Digpaul, Advocate for R-3/RML.
SI Sudhir Rathore, Special Cell,
Lodhi Colony.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER

05.02.2024

%

(The proceeding has been conducted through Hybrid Mode)

1. Ms. Ankita Patnaik, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the documents filed by her were taken on record. However, the said documents are not on record.
2. She requests and is granted one week time to place the said documents on record.
3. Additionally, Ms. Patnaik, learned counsel also seeks some time to place on record any more further documents, as would enable the petitioner to support her case.
4. Mr. Pradyut Kashyap, learned counsel appearing on behalf of



Mr. Satyakam, learned ASC for GNCTD/ Delhi Police is directed to find out as to whether there is any CCTV footage which may have been produced in evidence in the FIR, which was the subject matter of the Parliament attack case, only to identify the petitioner, if possible.

5. Since the petitioner asserts that during the Parliament attack, she was evacuating one HC Kamlesh Yadav, when he was injured and she too received bullet injuries and as such, it is possible that she may have been a part of the CCTV coverage of the relevant time.

6. The same be done within three weeks from today.

7. In case, if it is required, the Special Cell, which was carrying out the investigations in respect of the Parliament attack case, shall co-operate with the respondent No.2 in finding CCTV footage of the relevant period, if available in their records. If so, then the same be scrutinized to find out if the petitioner can be identified anywhere in the said footage at the relevant point in time.

8. If required, an additional affidavit in support of the aforesaid directions be also filed by the respondents. An advance copy of the same may be furnished to the Mr. Patnaik, learned counsel for the petitioner.

9. List again on 03.04.2024.

10. The officers of the Special Cell are present in Court today and they have been apprised of the aforesaid direction.

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

FEBRUARY 5, 2024/nd