



\$~9

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(OS) 3009/2014

SONIKA GOYAL

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr.Mukul Rao, Adv.

versus

TARA JOSHI & ANR

..... Defendants

Through: Mr.Siddharth Agarwal and Mr.R.S.
Chauhan, Advs. for D-4
Mr.Abhinder Maheshwari, Adv. or
proposed defendant Bank of
Maharashtra

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. PURSHOTAM PATHAK
(DHJS)**

ORDER

%

27.05.2022

**I.A. No. 16727/2019 filed by plaintiff under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC
for impleadment of defendants no.3 to 6**

Pleadings between plaintiff and defendants no.3, 5 & 6 are complete.

Learned counsel for defendant no.4 submits that he could not file reply as he has not been provided with the copy of plaint and documents despite directions.

Learned counsel for plaintiff submits that he could not provide the same as defendant no.4 has not provided his particulars despite directions. Let copy of plaint and documents be supplied to learned



counsel for defendant no.4.

After receiving the copy of plaint and documents, reply be filed within two weeks with advance copy to opposite party.

Rejoinder, if any be filed within a week thereafter.

Put up for completion of pleadings on next date of hearing.

I.A. 8617/2022 filed by Plaintiff under Order 22 Rule 4 read with Section 151 CPC for impleadment of LR of defendant no. 1

I.A. No.8618/2022 under Section 151 of CPC for condonation of delay in re-filing I.A. No. 8617/2022

Heard.

Issue notice of abovesaid applications to LR of defendant no.1 on filing of PF and through all permissible modes including e-mail, returnable by 02.09.2022.

MAY 27, 2022/tp

PURSHOTAM PATHAK (DHJS), J

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)