

\$~37

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7730/2018

VINITA SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. M.K. Bhardwaj, Adv.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS. Respondents

Through ; Mr. Saurabh Chauhan and
Mr. Varun Jain, Advs. for R-1
& 2

Mr. Naushad Ahmad Khan,
ASC(Civil)-GNCTD with
Mr. Zahid Hanief and Ms. Sana
Naseem, Advs. for R-3 & 4

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.HARI SHANKAR

ORDER

%

11.09.2018

There is a mismatch between the cause title, which is “Vinita Sharma v. Government of NCT of Delhi & Ors” and the memo of parties, in which the Government of NCT of Delhi is respondent no.3 and Delhi Institute of Heritage Research & Management is respondent no.1. As such, the cause title should read “Vinita Sharma vs. Delhi Institute of Heritage Research & Management And Ors.”.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to file an amended memo of parties, with the correct cause title, within one week. The Registry is also directed to reflect this matter in the cause list as “Vinita Sharma v. Delhi Institute of Heritage Research & Management And Ors.” in future.

Learned counsel for the respondents seek and are granted four

weeks time to file counter affidavit with advance copy to the petitioner who may file rejoinder thereto if any within two weeks thereof.

Renotify on 21st February, 2019.

SEPTEMBER 11, 2018/kr

C.HARI SHANKAR, J