

\$~4

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **CS(OS) 2424/2010**  
**JAI RATTAN BHALLA AND ANOTHER**

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr.Kushagra Pandit, Adv.

versus

**PURI INVESTMENTS AND OTHERS**

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Jeevesh Nagrath and Mr. Shobhit  
Phutela, Advocates.

**CORAM:**

**SH. AMIT KUMAR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**ORDER**

% **19.07.2016**

**I.A.No. 8380/2016 filed by defendant no. 4 seeking condonation of delay  
in re-filing the written statement**

Counsel for the plaintiff accepts the notice and seeks time to file his response. Be so filed within a week.

Put up for arguments on this IA on **24<sup>th</sup> August, 2016.**

**CS (OS) 2424/2010**

It is stated for defendant no. 3 that written statement of defendant no.3 shall be filed within a week from today as defendant no. 3 is 90 years old and at present living in U.K. Let the same be so filed, if permissible by law.

Matter is also listed for admission/denial of documents.

Affidavits of plaintiff, defendant no.2, 4 & 6 are there on record.

It is stated for the defendants that defendant no. 1, 3 & 5 shall not be filing their separate affidavit of admission/denial and will adopt the affidavit

of admission/denial filed by defendant no. 2, 4 & 6.

In the affidavit filed by plaintiff to the documents of defendant no.1,2,5, & 6 wherein plaintiff has admitted 5 documents of defendants and out of these 5 documents, for 3 documents 'receipt of the documents have been admitted and contents have been denied'. These 5 documents are marked as Ex. D-1 to Ex. D-5.

As far as documents of plaintiff are concerned, defendants have admitted 19 documents and out of these 19, 11 have been admitted as of them 'receipt of the documents have been admitted and contents have been denied'. These 19 documents are marked as Ex. P-1 to Ex. P-19.

Admission/denial of the documents stands concluded.

**AMIT KUMAR (DHJS)**  
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**JULY 19, 2016/nk**