



\$~2

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(OS) 2437/2010

APARNA ASHRAM

..... Plaintiff

Through

Mr.A.K. Gupta, Adv.

versus

SHUBH CHINTAK KISHORE & ANR

..... Defendant

Through

Mr. Arun Vohra with Mr. Pranay
Mehta, Advs. for D-1

Mr. Pawan Mathur, Adv. for DDA.

CORAM:

SANJAY JINDAL (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

%

31.08.2018

CS(OS) 2437/2010

Pleadings qua defendant no. 1 already complete.

Previous cost paid by learned counsel for defendant no. 2 to
learned counsel for plaintiff.

As per office report, written statement to amended plaint has
been filed by defendant no. 2 but copy has not been received by
learned counsel for plaintiff as stated. It is stated that copy has been
sent through post, however he undertakes to supply a fresh copy
against due acknowledgement. At request, copy be also supplied to
learned counsel for defendant no. 1.

Let replication be filed by plaintiff within two weeks alongwith
affidavit of admission/denial after receiving of copy of written
statement with advance copy.

Defendant no. 2 to file affidavit of admission/denial within two
weeks with advance copy. It has already come on record on behalf of

Contd.....2



-2-

defendant no. 1 that no affidavit of admission/denial is required to be filed by the said defendant.

Put up for completion of pleadings and admission/denial on 05.10.2018.

SANJAY JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

AUGUST 31, 2018/pk