



\$~SB-R-18

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4385/2014

MAMTA

.....Petitioner

Through:

versus

VIJAY KUMAR & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ritesh Kumar Bahri, APP for  
State with Mr. Lalit Luthra,  
Advocate.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA**

**ORDER**

**15.04.2025**

%

1. A perusal of the paper book reveals that the complainant levelled allegations under Section 406 read with Section 34 and 498A of the IPC against her husband and in-laws. *Vide* order dated 28.03.2013, the concerned MM (Mahila Court, East) on finding no case made out against the respondents under Section 406 read with Section 34 IPC, discharged them and framed charges under Section 498A IPC against the husband alone.

2. It appears that the petitioner had challenged the same *vide* the Crl. Rev. 128/2013 before the Additional Sessions Judge-01, East Karkardooma Court. *Vide* the impugned order dated 08.07.2014, the learned Additional Sessions Judge had upheld the order impugned therein, that is the order dated 28.03.2013 passed by the learned MM, and accordingly rejected the Criminal Revision petition. Impugning the same, the present petition appears



to have been filed.

3. It is apparent that the petition has been filed in a dispute arising from matrimonial discord. Notice was issued by this Court as far back as on 24.09.2014 and the petition is pending adjudication since then.

4. This Court is in agreement with Mr. Bahri, learned APP that in such matters there is a great probability that settlement may have been arrived at by this time between the parties, rendering the present petition infructuous.

5. Thus, in order to ascertain the current position, the concerned IO is directed to file an updated status report within four weeks.

6. LCR be requisitioned.

7. Matter to remain on Board.

**TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J**

**APRIL 15, 2025**

**yrj**