



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 621/2016

ANIL DHAWAN

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Ashvani Verma, Mr. Lalit Yadav
and Ms. Ruchika Verma, Advs.

versus

THE CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION THR. ITS
DIRECTOR

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Rajdipa Bahura, SPP with Mr.
Philomon Kani, Ms. Kriti Handa,
Mr.Vignaraj Pasayat and Ms.
Karnika, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

% **01.08.2017**

Crl. M.A. 11010/2017 (u/Sec. 482 Cr.P.C.)

By this application, appellant is seeking permission to travel abroad for business purposes. Learned SPP for the respondent submits that this application is bereft of any details. Earlier, appellant had sought permission to travel abroad on the pretext that he was engaged in the export business in the name and style of 'ADYA INTERNATIONAL'; whereas, in the present application it is mentioned that he is doing business in the name and style of 'AARR Overseas'. It is further submitted that itinerary has not been furnished.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that itinerary as well as



details of the companies, in which appellant is involved, would be submitted within two days. Let an affidavit in this regard be filed by the appellant within two days with an advance copy to the learned SPP for the respondent who may file response to the affidavit, if any, within four days thereafter.

List on 9th August, 2017.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

AUGUST 01, 2017
ga