



\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **EX.P. 327/2013**

NAVEEN KUMAR GUPTA

.....Decree Holder

Through: **Ms. Mallika Gupta and Mr.
Abhishek Kumar, Advocates**

versus

PRAVEEN KUMAR GUPTA & ORSJudgement Debtors

Through: **Mrs. Snehlata. S Surana, CSGC
with Mr. Waseem Ahmad and
Mr. Pradyumn Singh,
Advocates for contemnors/ SHO**

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR**

ORDER

% **27.02.2026**

EX.APPL.(OS) 275/2026 (For Delay of 105 days in filing of CCP)

1. In view of the averments made in the present Application, the delay in filing of the Application CCP(O) 20/2026 is condoned.
2. Accordingly, the present Application is disposed of.

CCP(O) 20/2026 (For U/S 2(B) & 12)

3. CCP(O) 20/2026 has been filed by the Decree Holder, under Section 2(b) & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, seeking initiation contempt proceedings as against the SHO, Police Station Bawana, New Delhi for non-compliance with the directions passed vide Order dated 27.09.2024 by this Court.
4. Issue notice. Learned counsel for the alleged contemnor accepts notice and submits that the concerned SHO at that relevant time,



namely, Mr. Rajni Kanth has been transferred and therefore, the said directions could not be complied with.

5. This Court is of the considered view that there is no such stipulation that a named Officer has to comply with the aforesaid Order dated 27.09.2024. The said Order categorically directs the SHO, Police Station Bawana, New Delhi to file a status report regarding the compliance of Order dated 09.09.2021 as well as to provide adequate security to the Local Commissioner appointed in terms of Order dated 24.09.2024. Undoubtedly, there has been egregious non-compliance of the directions passed by this Court.

6. Accordingly, SHO, Police Station Bawana, New Delhi, is directed to personally appear before this Court on the next date of hearing. He is further directed to file a Reply within 10 days from today, setting out therein the reasons as to why the directions passed by this Court *vide* Order dated 27.09.2024 were not complied with.

7. Accordingly, list on 27.03.2026, i.e., the date already fixed.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.
FEBRUARY 27, 2026/rk/her/dj