



\$~41

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RC.REV. 350/2016

MAHINDER KAUR (SINCE DECEASED) THR HER LEGAL  
REPRESENTATIVES & ORS ..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. D.R. Bhatia, Advocate

versus

O P VERMA (SINCE DECEASED) THR HIS LEGAL  
REPRESENTATIVE ..... Respondents

Through: Mr. D.K.Sharma, Advocate with  
Ms. Supriti Ray, Adv.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA**

**ORDER**

% **11.04.2018**

CM APPL.13988/2018 (for early hearing moved by the respondents)

The revision petition was admitted as per order dated 21.02.2018.

Given the vintage of the case and the pendency of cases older in age fixed on the intervening dates, there is no scope for any early hearing to be accorded.

The application for early hearing is disposed of with these observations.

CM APPL.14104/2018 (for vacation of stay moved by the respondents)

The execution of the order of eviction was stayed by order dated 21.02.2018. No reply to the said application has been filed by the applicant/respondent.

RC.REV. 350/2016

Page 1 of 2



Be that as it may, having regard to the averment made in the application that the non-applicant/petitioner has not been paying the rent for the last eleven years, the petitioner/non-applicant is called upon to file reply to this application, which may be done within two weeks.

Both the parties, in the meanwhile, are also given liberty to come with authenticated documents showing the prevalent market rent so that directions in terms of ruling of the Supreme Court in *Atma Ram Properties (P) Ltd. vs. Federal Motors (P) Ltd.*, (2005) 1 SCC 705 may be considered.

Be listed on 16<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

**R.K.GAUBA, J.**

**APRIL 11, 2018**

**vk**

*RC.REV. 350/2016*

*Page 2 of 2*