



\$~18

\*

+

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

**TEST.CAS. 65/2007**

**GURDEEP SINGH**

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Chandrika Gupta, Adv.

Versus

**STATE & ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: None for R-1/State  
Mr. Subhash Chand & Ms.  
Pooja, Advs. for R-2 with R-2 in  
person  
Ms. Seema Singh, Adv. for R-3  
Mr. Kuldeep Sherawat, Adv. for  
LRs of R-4 (joined through VC).

**CORAM:**

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PREETI  
PAREWA, (DHJS)**

**ORDER**

% **26.09.2025**

**Test.Cas. 65/2007**

1. As per office note, there is no fresh filing in the present matter.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent no.2 submits that the previous cost of Rs.6,000/- was imposed upon RW5 and the said cost has already been deposited by the said witness through his counsel. Let proof of said cost be filed with the Registry before the next date of hearing.
3. The matter is listed today for further respondents' evidence. The evidence on behalf of respondent no.2 already stands closed vide order dated 28.07.2025.
4. Learned respective counsels for the respondent no.3&4 submit that no evidence is required to be lead on behalf of said respondents and the evidence led by



respondent no.2 is being ~~auto~~ted by them.

Accordingly and at the joint request, the matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Court on 19.11.2025, for further directions.

**PREETI PAREWA (DHJS)**  
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**  
**SEPTEMBER 26, 2025/ab**