



\$~7

\*

+

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

TEST.CAS. 65/2007

GURDEEP SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Chandrika Gupta Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Naveen Sehrawat (Proxy  
Counsel for Defendant No. 4).

Mr. Saurabh Tiwari Advocate for  
R-2 with R-2 in person.

Ms. Seema Singh LRs of  
Respondent no.3.

**CORAM:**

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA  
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

**ORDER**

%

**06.12.2022**

Regular steno is on leave and fresh steno is provided  
from the pool.

**IA No.14426/2022 u/S 5 of Limitation Act r/w Section  
151 CPC moved by respondent no.2 for condonation of  
delay in filing list of witnesses; and IA No.14427/2022  
u/S 151 CPC moved by respondent no.2 for  
condonation fo delay in re-filing IA No.14426/2022**

Part arguments heard.

At this stage, the Learned Counsel for the Applicant  
is directed to file brief synopsis to explain relevance of  
documents sought to be summoned from the summoned  
witnesses stated in the list of witnesses, within two weeks.  
The counsel for the Petitioner is also at liberty to file  
counter/brief synopsis.

It is stated by Learned Counsel for the Petitioner that  
she has filed Amended Memo of Parties vide diary  
no.615060 &615218. The same is not on record. Learned  
Counsel for the petitioner is directed to check up the same  
with the registry and get the same placed on record after  
removing the objections, if any.



Put up for remittal arguments on 30<sup>th</sup> January,  
2023.

**PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)**  
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**  
**DECEMBER 6, 2022/gb**  
*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*