



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ TEST.CAS. 65/2007

GURDEEP SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Chandrika Gupta, Adv.

Versus

STATE & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Saurabh Tewari, Adv. for R-2
with R-2 in person.

Mr. Kuldeep Sehrawat, Adv. for Mr.
Mouisha Sehrawat, Adv. for R-2&3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

%

29.03.2019

**IA No.4636/2019 (of petitioner for clarification of the order dated 14th
January, 2019)**

1. The counsel for the respondent No.2 and the counsel for the respondents No.3&4 appear on advance notice.
2. The petitioner seeks a clarification that the original documents filed in CS(OS) No.2426/2001 tagged to the file of this case will be allowed to be exhibited.
3. The application is misconceived. Paragraph 15 of the order dated 14th January, 2019 contains the same request of the counsel for the petitioner and in paragraph 16, it has been ordered for that reason only that the file of CS(OS) No.2426/2001, though disposed of, will remain tagged to the file of this case.

TEST.CAS. 65/2007

Page 1 of 2



4. The counsel for the petitioner states that the application is necessary in view of proceedings dated 20th March, 2019 of the Commissioner appointed for recording evidence.

5. It is expected that the Commissioner also, in terms of above will now not object to the petitioner proving the documents on file of CS(OS) No.2426/2001.

6. The application is disposed of.

A copy of this order be given *dasti* under the signatures of the Court Master.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J.

MARCH 29, 2019

‘bs’..