



\$~8-9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7223/2012

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS Petitioners
Through: Mr. Arun Kumar, Adv. for UOI.

versus

INDERJIT KANOJIA AND ORS Respondents
Through: Mr. Pramod Kumar Sharma and
Mr. Manish Kumar Sharma, Adv.

+ W.P.(C) 7362/2012 & CM APPL. 18870/2012

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS Petitioners
Through: Mr. Vinod Kumar Tiwari, Adv.

versus

RAJINDER KUMAR PAREEK AND ORS Respondents
Through: Mr. R.K. Jain, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. K. CHAWLA

ORDER

% **21.02.2019**

We are very disappointed with the manner in which learned counsel for Union of India is conducting these proceedings. On last two occasions, learned counsel for Union of India was not even present in the Court.

The impugned order has been passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT) placing reliance on its previous decisions in OA Nos.2303/2006 and 754/2005. On our query, learned counsel for



petitioners is not in a position to state whether those decisions have been accepted by the Union of India or not, and whether the said decisions were carried to this Court and, if so, the fate of those proceedings. These are the basic facts which the counsel for the petitioner should have known.

We direct the petitioner to file an affidavit disclosing the status of the orders passed in OA Nos. 2303/2006 and 754/2005. It should specifically be stated in the said affidavit that whether the orders have attained finality and have been implemented, or not. The affidavit be filed within four weeks. In case, the same is not so filed, the petitioner shall deposit costs of Rs.10,000/- in the Prime Minister's National Relief Fund.

List on 18.09.2019.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

A. K. CHAWLA, J

FEBRUARY 21, 2019

rc