



\$~10 & 11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7223/2012

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Vikrant N. Goyal, Mr. Vinod
Tiwari, Ms. Satvika Goyal and Ms.
Nikita Goyal, Advocates

versus

INDERJIT KANOJIA AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Pramod Kumar Sharma and Mr.
Manish Kumar Sharma, Advocates

W.P.(C) 7362/2012

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Vikrant N. Goyal, Mr. Vinod
Tiwari, Ms. Satvika Goyal and Ms.
Nikita Goyal, Advocates

versus

RAJINDER KUMAR PAREEK AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Pramod Kumar Sharma and Mr.
Manish Kumar Sharma, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **02.05.2024**

1. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the decision of the Apex Court in Civil Appeal no. 1086/2014 is not applicable to the facts of the present case as the relief sought by the petitioners in the present writ petition is different from the issue which was subject matter of consideration in the said appeal. The said statement is taken on record.
2. Furthermore the matter is, in fact, covered against the petitioners by



another decision of a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in W.P.(C) 7433/2016 titled ***Union of India v. Mridula Gupta***.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners prays for time to examine the said decision and make submissions.

4. List on 18.09.2024.

REKHA PALLI, J

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MAY 2, 2024/So