



\$~2

\*

+

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
W.P.(C) 8231/2011, CM APPL. 61170/2024, CM APPL. 61171/2024,  
CM APPL. 61172/2024 & REVIEW PET. 391/2024

SANTOSH KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Khagesh B. Jha, Ms. Shikha Baga,  
Ms. Khushi Sachdeva, Advocates.

versus

DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Pramod Gupta, Ms. Jessica Khera,  
Ms. Himanshi and Ms. Yogita,  
Advocates for R-2.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA**

**ORDER**

%

**09.01.2026**

**CM APPL. 23179/2024 (Seeking clarification of Judgment dated 14.02.2024)**

1. Mr. Gupta, learned counsel appearing for applicant/respondent no.2 submits that though the notice was issued on 23.04.2024 on the said application seeking clarification of the judgment dated 14.02.2024, however, reply has not yet been filed by the non-applicant/petitioner.
2. Mr. Jha, learned counsel appearing for non-applicant/petitioner seeks and is granted ten days' time to file reply.
3. Mr. Gupta, learned counsel for applicant/respondent no.2 very fairly states that for the period which is not in dispute of payment, without prejudice the payment shall be released to the petitioner subject to the outcome of the result of CM APPL.23179/2024. The payment shall be made within six weeks from date.
4. List the matter for consideration of CM APPL.23179/2024 on 27.03.2026.
5. After the aforesaid order was passed, while perusing the order sheets,



this Court observed that *vide* order dated 13.12.2024, the CM APPL.23179/2024 was disposed of in the following terms:

*“4. After hearing the submissions of learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the considered opinion that there appears to be an apparent error in para 37. It is clear that the intent of this Court was to grant relief only to the extent of three years prior to filing of the present petition and not from July, 2007 to 2010. From the perusal of the record of W.P.(C) 8231/2011, it is apparent that the same was filed on 18.11.2011. Thus, the period of three years have to be reckoned retrospectively with effect from November, 2011*

*5. In view of the above, it is clarified that para 37 of the judgment dated 14.02.2024 be read as under:*

*“In view thereof, the petitioner is entitled for the reliefs sought, only to the extent of three years prior to the filing of the present petition. **It is therefore, directed that the respondent - school release the arrears of difference in salary of the petitioner as per the pay scale mentioned in the service book (annexed with the petition), from November, 2008 till November, 2011 alongwith consequential benefit, within six weeks from today, failing which the arrears would be paid with the interest @ 8% per annum.**”*

*6. In view of the above, appropriate Corrigendum be issued in respect of the judgment dated 14.02.2024 and the same be uploaded on the official website forthwith.*

*7. Since the change has been effected in the operative portion of the judgment dated 14.02.2024, the applicant-respondent no.2 school is afforded another six weeks' time to comply with the aforesaid directions.*

*8. The application is disposed of in the above terms.*

**REVIEW PET. 391/2024**

*9. List for hearing on 07.02.2025.”*

6. However, neither of the counsel for the parties brought attention of this Court to the aforesaid order disposing of C.M. APPL. 73179/2024.

7. In such circumstances, list the matter on 27.03.2026 for reporting compliance of directions contained in para 5 and 7 of the order dated 13.12.2024.

**TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J**

**JANUARY 9, 2026**



*kct*