



\$~19 & 25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 429/2016

BHARTI AIRTEL LIMITED & ANRAppellants
Through: Ms. Nikhita K Suri, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIARespondent
Through: Ms. Laavanya Kaushik, Mr.
Ankur Mittal, Ms. Rabaica Jaiswal and Ms.
Khyaati Bansal, Advs.

25

+ **FAO(OS) 87/2013**

UNION OF INDIAAppellant
Through: Ms. Laavanya Kaushik, Mr.
Ankur Mittal, Ms. Rabaica Jaiswal and Ms.
Khyaati Bansal, Advs.

versus

BHARTI CELLULAR LTDRespondent
Through: Ms. Nikhita K Suri, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA

ORDER
09.02.2026

%

1. There is a request for adjournment by Bharti Airtel Ltd to which Union of India has no objection.

2. As these are 2013 and 2016 appeals, list for disposal on 7 March 2026.



3. In case it has not been done, both sides are directed to place on record short notes of their respective submissions not exceeding five pages each, along with duly indexed compilations of any judicial authorities on which they may seek to place reliance, after exchanging copies with each other at least a week in advance of the next date of hearing.

C.HARI SHANKAR, J

OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J

FEBRUARY 9, 2026/AR