



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 2092/2013**

CAPTAIN BHUPINDER KUMAR SURI Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rohit Jolly Advocate along with
plaintiff

versus

NARESH KUMAR SURI & ORS Defendant

Through: Mr. Aditya V.Singh Advocate for D-1
Mr. Rajeev Kapoor Advocate for D-
2-3

CORAM:

**SH. RAKESH PANDIT (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

% **02.05.2019**

IA No. 5344/2019 (under Section 151 CPC by D-2-3)

Defendant no.1 has filed the reply. Rejoinder has not been
filed.

Heard. By way of this application, defendants 2 and 3 want to
examine Tarun Suri, son of the defendant no.2 as witness. It is stated
that the purpose for examination of this witness is to bring the facts
before the Hon'ble Court that defendant no.1 had tried to dispossess
the defendant no.2 from the suit property.

I have gone through the order dated 12.12.2018 and the
opinion expressed by the Hon'ble Court.



Considering the same, this witness/Tarun Suri is not required to cross examine as far as the factual matrix of the present matter is concerned. Accordingly, the application dismissed.

CS(OS) 2092/2013

It is stated by learned counsels for the parties that the recording of evidence is going on before the learned Local Commissioner and the same is listed for 08.05.2019.

The matter be placed before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on the date already fixed.

**SH. RAKESH PANDIT (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**MAY 02, 2019
hk**