



\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 573/2018 & CrI. M. A. 12308/2018

ROHIT & ANR

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rakesh Kumar Dudeja and
Mr. Pranay Majumdar, Advocates.

Versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through: Dr. M. P. Singh, APP for State with
SI Ramesh from PS- Mundka.
Mr. Atul Kumar, Advocate for
complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **06.12.2019**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has urged that ingredients of SC/ST are not made out for the reason that no public person has been cited as witness. It is his submissions that only witness cited are the wife, son and daughter-in-law which are the family members of the complainant. He has referred to the decision of this Court titled as *Daya Bhatnagar & Anr. vs. State*, reported as 109 (2004) DLT 915.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent seeks some time to go through the same and cite relevant case law.
3. List on 07th February, 2020.
4. Interim order to continue.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

DECEMBER 06, 2019

SSC