



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 1397/2011**

BABLU @ BABA

.....Appellant

Through: Appellant in person.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP for State with Mr. Arsalan Naik, Mr. Naveen, Mr. Sunil Singh Rawat, Mr. Naresh Kumar Dagar and Mr. Siddharth Goyal, Advocates alongwith SI Prashant, PS-Laxmi Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

%

14.11.2025

1. This hearing has been conducted through hybrid mode.
2. The Appellant has appeared in person and has provided his residential address i.e., Village-Dargah Khurd, PS-Mohangarh, District-Tikamgarh, Madhya Pradesh. His Mobile No. is 9238180893.
3. The Appellant further submits that he is not financially in a position to engage a private counsel and, therefore, requests that a Legal Aid Counsel be appointed to represent him.
4. Accordingly, the Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee ('DHCLSC') is directed to appoint a counsel to represent the Appellant in the present matter.
5. Let a copy of this order be forwarded forthwith to the DHCLSC for the



necessary compliance.

6. It is pointed out on behalf of the learned APP for the State that in the present case, notice is required to be issued to the Prosecutrix as she is also entitled to be heard, in view of the Judgment passed in ***Jagjeet Singh & Ors. Vs. Ashish Mishra & Anr.***, (2022) 9 SCC 321.

7. Accordingly, issue Court notice to the Prosecutrix, to be served through the concerned SHO/IO.

8. The Prosecutrix may appear either through video conference or physically.

9. List on 15th January, 2026.

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

NOVEMBER 14, 2025/nd/abk